## Studies on the Radical Cyclization of 3-Oxopropanenitriles and Alkenes with Cerium(IV) Ammonium Nitrate in Ether Solvents

by Mehmet Yılmaz

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Kocaeli University, TR-41380 Umuttepe, Kocaeli (fax: +90-262-3032003; e-mail: mehmet.yilmaz@kocaeli.edu.tr)

The radical cyclization of 3-oxopropanenitriles 1a-1e and alkenes 2a-2g with cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN) in ether solvents was investigated (*Tables 1* and 2). In the optimization study, 1,3-dioxolane, 1,4-dioxane, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, Et<sub>2</sub>O, and THF were used as ether-based solvents, and the latter was found to be the most effective solvent in radical cyclizations mediated by cerium(IV). This system (cerium(IV)/THF) was applied to cyclizations of various 3-oxopropanenitriles and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with alkenes resulting in the formation of 4,5-dihydrofurans in high yields (*Table 2* and *Scheme 2*). The results of the cerium(IV)/THF radical cyclization were compared with those obtained with manganese(III) acetate/AcOH; the cerium(IV)/THF system turned out to be much more efficient.

**Introduction.** – During the last three decades, manganese(III) acetate dihydrate (MAH) [1] and cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN) [2] have been used as efficient radical oxidants. These oxidants have the ability for a one-electron transfer and are used in organic synthesis for constructing C–C bonds. Both CAN and MAH are well known for dihydrofuran formation by radical cyclization of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with alkenes. Dihydrofurans show a wide range of biological activities and form the basic structure of many natural compounds [3].

Our research group has studied the radical cyclization of 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds and 3-oxopropanenitriles with alkenes, alkynes, unsaturated amides, and dienes in the presence of manganese(III) acetate [4]. Very recently, we have reported that some 4,5dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles show antibacterial and antifungal activity [5]. These carbonitriles are available by oxidative cyclization of 3-oxopropanenitriles with conjugated alkenes [4f][4g] and unsaturated amides [4h]. In these reactions, MAH/ AcOH gives good results, whereas CAN is not efficient when MeOH or MeCN are used as solvents. Hence, we performed an optimization using ether-based solvents and CAN which were more effective. We applied the optimized conditions (CAN/THF,  $40-60^{\circ}$ ) to a study of the cyclization of 3-oxopropanenitriles and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with alkenes. Additionally, we compared cyclizations induced by CAN/THF and MAH/ AcOH. The CAN/THF method gave 4,5-dihydrofurans in high yields.

**Results and Discussion.** – All 3-oxopropanenitriles were prepared according to [4g][4h][6]. The 1,1-diphenylbut-1-ene (**2e**) [7], 1,1-bis(4-fluorophenyl)ethene (**2f**) [8], and 1,1-bis(4-methylphenyl)ethene (**2g**) [9] were synthesized by dehydration of the corresponding alcohols obtained from *Grignard* reactions of suitable arylmagnesium bromides and ketones. The other alkenes, CAN, and reagents are commercial materials

<sup>© 2011</sup> Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

and were purchased in highest purity. Crude products were purified by column chromatography or/and prep. thin-layer chromatography (TLC). All novel compounds were characterized by IR, <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, MS, and microanalysis. The other products were characterized by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR.

The proposed mechanism for the radical cyclization of 3-oxopropanenitriles with alkenes mediated by CAN and the formation of 4,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles is displayed in *Scheme 1*. According to this mechanism, [Ce<sup>IV</sup>(enolato)] complex **B** is formed with the enol form **A** of 3-oxopropanenitriles **1a** – **1e** and CAN. While Ce<sup>4+</sup> is reduced to Ce<sup>3+</sup>, a radical at C( $\alpha$ ) is formed resulting in structure **C**. The radical intermediate **D** is obtained by an electrophilic radical addition of **C** on the alkene. A [Ce<sup>3+</sup>(enolato)] complex is formed by removing H–C( $\alpha$ ) from structure **D**, and the radical is oxidized to carbocation **E** with CAN. The intramolecular cyclization of **E** forms 4,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile **F**.

Scheme 1. Mechanism for the Formation of 4,5-Dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles



The synthesis of 4,5-dihydro-2,5,5-triphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3a**) was used as model reaction. This compound **3a** was previously obtained by cyclization of 3-phenyl-3-oxopropanenitrile (**1a**) with 1,1-diphenylethene (**2a**) mediated by MAH in 65% yield [10]. For this model reaction, 1,3-dioxolane, 1,4-dioxane, 1,2-dimethoxyethane, Et<sub>2</sub>O, and THF were used as ether solvents as well as MeOH and MeCN, which are traditionally used in reactions of CAN. The syntheses of **3a** were performed with a molar ratio **1a/2a**/CAN of 1.2:1:3, under N<sub>2</sub> at different temperatures for 10 min. The results are given in *Table 1*.

The formation of **3a** with CAN in MeOH at  $0^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  failed, and compound **3a** could not be isolated. Dihydrofuran **3a** was formed in low yields in MeCN under the same reaction conditions. However, when 1,3-dioxolane and 1,4-dioxane were used at  $40-50^{\circ}$ , **3a** was obtained in 52 and 83% yield, respectively. At higher temperatures, lower yields were observed. In 1,2-dimethoxyethane at  $40-50^{\circ}$ , the yield of **3a** amounted to 73%. On the other hand, product **3a** was obtained in 84% yield in Et<sub>2</sub>O under reflux for 24 h instead of 10 min (due to the poor solubility of CAN). The optimum yield of 97% was obtained in THF at  $40-50^{\circ}$  after 10 min reaction time. These results indicated that ether solvents can be used more efficiently in reactions of

	Ph C	CN + Ph Ph	Ph CANPh~	CN	
	1a	2a		3a	
Solvent	T [°]	Yield [%] <sup>a</sup> )	Solvent	T [°]	Yield [%] <sup>a</sup> )
MeOH	0	-	1,3-dioxolane	60 - 70	48
MeOH	60	-	1,4-dioxane	40 - 50	83
MeCN	0	23	1,4-dioxane	60 - 70	52
MeCN	60	46	1,2-dimethoxyethane	40 - 50	73
1,3-Dioxolane	40 - 50	52	Et <sub>2</sub> O	36	84
1,3-Dioxolane	50 - 60	50	THF	40 - 50	97
<sup>a</sup> ) Yield of isolat	ted product ba	ased on alkene <b>2a</b> .			

Table 1. Solvent- and Temperature-Optimization Study of the Radical Cyclization

CAN. These optimum conditions were applied to the reactions of various 3oxopropanenitriles, and the results were compared to the reactions performed with MAH/AcOH (*Table 2*).

While the radical cyclization of 3-(furan-2-yl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (1b) mediated by MAH gave 3b in 50% yield, the new method (CAN/THF) produced 3b in 90% yield (Table 2, Entry 2). Similarly, radical cyclizations of 3-(1-benzofuran-2-yl)-3-oxopropanenitrile (1c) and 4,4-dimethyl-3-oxopentanenitrile (1d) with 2a in the presence of CAN gave the corresponding dihydrofurans in high yield (Entries 3 and 4). Reactions of **1a** with styrene (**2b**) and (1E)-1-phenylprop-1-ene (**2c**) which are less reactive than 2a, furnished 3e (42%) and 3f (75%) in moderate to good yield (*Entries 5* and 6). Whereas the reaction of 2-phenylprop-1-ene (2d) with 1a and MAH/AcOH produced 3h in 25% yield, the same reaction with CAN resulted in 80% yield (Entry 8). The reactions of the 3-oxopropanenitriles 1b, 1c, and 1e with 2d gave remarkable results (*Entries* 9-11). These facts evidently demonstrate that CAN/THF is a method superior to MAH/AcOH. Radical cyclizations of 1a and 1e with sterically hindered alkene 2e showed again that CAN/THF is more efficient (Table 2, Entries 12 and 13). Moreover, 3-oxopropanenitriles 1a and 1d and alkenes 2f and 2g with 4-F and 4-Me substituents, respectively, gave 4,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles in similarly high yields (Entries 14 and 19).

This method could also be employed for other 1,3-diketones. The reaction of 4-hydroxycoumarin (4) and 2d with CAN/THF at  $40-50^{\circ}$  for 10 min gave 2-methyl-2-phenyl-4*H*-furo[3,2-*c*][1]benzopyran-4-one (4a) in 72% yield (*Scheme 2*). Ketone 4a has been synthesized in lower yields in the presence of Ag/*Celite* (37% [11] and 46% [12]) as well as in the presence of CAN under different reaction conditions (62% [2c] and 70% [2k]). Moreover, the radical cyclization of ethyl 3-oxobutanoate (5) with 2d under the same conditions (CAN/THF) gave ethyl 4,5-dihydro-2,5-dimethyl-5-phenyl-furan-3-carboxylate (5a) in 79% yield. This compound has been obtained in the ionic liquid [bmim][BF<sub>4</sub>]/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> 1:9 as a solvent in the same yield but after 2 h (bmim = 1-butyl-9-methyl-1*H*-imidazolium) [2k]. A similar radical cyclization with 1,1-diphenyl-

			+	$R^2$ $R^3$ $R^4$	CAN, THE	= →	$R^2 O$ $R^3$ $R^4$		
		1a – 1e		2a – 2g			3a –	- 3t	
Entry	Nitrile	<b>R</b> <sup>1</sup>	Alkene	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>	R <sup>3</sup>	$\mathbb{R}^4$	Product	Yield [%] <sup>a</sup> )	Yield [%] <sup>b</sup> ) MAH/AcOH
1	<b>1</b> a	Ph	2a	Ph	Ph	Н	3a	97	65
2	1b	furan-2-yl	2a				3b	90	50
3	1c	1-benzofuran-2-yl	2a				3c	96	
4	1d	<sup>t</sup> Bu	2a				3d	90	62
5	1a	Ph	2b	Ph	Н	Н	3e	42	12
6	1a	Ph	2c	Ph	Н	Me	3f	75	
7	1e	2-thienyl	2c				3g	77	
8	1a	Ph	2d	Ph	Me	Н	3h	80	25
9	1b	furan-2-yl	2d				3i	77	
10	1c	1-benzofuran-2-yl	2d				3j	81	
11	1e	2-thienyl	2d				3k	86	
12	1a	Ph	2e	Ph	Ph	Et	31	83	63
13	1e	2-thienyl	2e				3m	80	44
14	1a	Ph	2f	$4 - FC_6H_4$	$4 - FC_6H_4$	Н	3n	86	62
15	1b	furan-2-yl	2f				30	82	
16	1c	1-benzofuran-2-yl	2f				3р	88	
17	1e	2-thienyl	2f				3q	85	
18	1b	furan-2-yl	2g	$4-MeC_6H_4$	$4-MeC_6H_4$	Н	3r	82	
19	1d	<sup>t</sup> Bu	$2\overline{g}$				3s	80	
20	1e	2-thienyl	2g				3t	87	
a) Viel	lde of isc	lated product based	l on the c	lkono <sup>b</sup> ) Vi	elde teken f	rom [	10][4f]		

Table 2. Radical Cyclization of 3-Oxopropanenitriles and Alkenes with CAN/THF

Yields of isolated product based on the alkene. <sup>b</sup>) Yields taken from [10][4f].

ethylene (2a) produced 5b in 81% yield, which has been obtained in 75% yield in ionic liquid [2k] and in 44% yield in MeCN by CAN [13].

Conclusions. - In this study, CAN was applied to the radical cyclizations of 3oxopropanenitriles 1a-1e with alkenes 2a-2g for the first time. MeOH and MeCN, generally used for the reactions of CAN, either were not sufficiently effective or failed to form the products. An optimization study with ether solvents established that THF, Et<sub>2</sub>O, and 1,4-dioxane are highly efficient in the reactions of CAN. Furthermore, 4,5dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles were obtained in higher yields with CAN than with MAH. The high efficiency of CAN/THF in radical cyclizations can be explained by the low solubility of CAN in THF that causes a stepwise release of Ce<sup>4+</sup> ions into the reaction medium and thus prevents the formation of possible by-products.

The author is grateful to the TUBITAK (108T305) and the Kocaeli University Science Research Foundation (BAP 2008/28) for their financial support.

Scheme 2. Radical Cyclization of 4 and 5 with Alkenes



## **Experimental Part**

General. All solvents were dried by standart methods. Column chromatography (CC): silica gel (SiO<sub>2</sub>; 230–400 mesh; *Merck*). TLC: anal. aluminium plates coated with SiO<sub>2</sub> 60  $F_{254-366}$  (0.2 mm; *Merck*). M.p.: electrothermal melting point apparatus; uncorrected. IR Spectra: FT-IR *Matson-1000* instrument; KBr pellets; 400–4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> range with 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution;  $\tilde{\nu}$  in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectra: *Mercury-400* high-performance digital FT-NMR insrument; in CDCl<sub>3</sub>;  $\delta$  in ppm rel. to Me<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard, *J* in Hz. LC/ESI-MS: *Waters-2695-Alliance-Micromass-ZQ* instrument; in *m/z* (rel. %).

4,5-Dihydrofuran-3-carbonitriles 3a-3t. General Procedure. To a soln. of 3-oxopropanenitrile (1.2 mmol) and alkene (1 mmol) in THF (10 ml) under N<sub>2</sub>, a mixture of CAN (3 mmol) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (3 mmol) was added at 40°. Then, the temp. was slowly increased to 60°. The reaction was completed when the orange color of CAN had disappeared (10-30 min) or when the alkene spot on TLC had completely vanished. H<sub>2</sub>O was added to the soln., and the mixture was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (3 × 20 ml). The combined org. phase was dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated and the crude product purified by CC (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 230-400 mesh) or prep. TLC (20 × 20 cm plates, 2 mm thickness, hexane/AcOEt 5:1).

4,5-Dihydro-2,5,5-triphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3a**): Yield 0.313 g (97%). Colorless solid. M.p. 134–136° (hexane/AcOEt). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.10 (dd, J = 7.0, 2.7, 2 arom. H); 7.50–7.28 (m, 13 arom. H); 3.78 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)).

2-(*Furan-2-yl*)-4,5-*dihydro-5,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile* (**3b**): Yield 0.282 g (90%). Pale yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.63 (*d*, *J* = 1.6, 1 H); 7.40–7.30 (*m*, 12 arom. H); 7.11 (*d*, *J* = 3.5, 1 H); 6.56 (*dd*, *J* = 3.5, 1.6, 1 H); 3.73 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)).

2-(Benzofuran-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-5,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3c**): Yield 0.348 g (96%). Yellow solid. M.p. 165 – 167° (hexane/AcOEt). IR: 3061, 2200 (CN), 1648 (C=C), 1180 (C–O–C), 829, 750, 698. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.67 (d, J = 7.8, 1 H); 7.62 (d, J = 8.2, 1 H); 7.47 – 7.44 (m, 6 arom. H); 7.40 – 7.35 (m, 4 arom. H); 7.38 – 7.28 (m, 3 arom. H); 3.79 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 157.0 (C(2)); 155.7; 144.9; 143.5; 128.8; 128.5; 127.5; 127.2; 125.9; 124.1; 122.5; 121.1; 116.2 (CN); 112.3; 110.3; 94.5; 81.2 (C(5)); 45.5 (C(4)). LC/ESI-MS: 364 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 82.63, H 4.72, N 3.85; found: C 82.86, H 4.37, N 4.05.

2-(tert-*Butyl*)-4,5-dihydro-5,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3d**): Yield 0.273 g (90%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.40-7.48 (*m*, 10 arom. H); 3.58 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 1.36 (*s*, 'Bu).

4,5-Dihydro-2,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3e**): Yield 0.103 g (42%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.02 (dd, J = 8.0, 5.0, 2 arom. H); 7.48 – 7.36 (m, 8 arom. H); 5.83 (dd, J = 11.2, 8.4, H-C(5)); 3.53 ( $dd, J = 14.8, 10.8, \text{H}_{a}-\text{C}(4)$ ); 3.10 ( $dd, J = 14.8, 8.4, \text{H}_{b}-\text{C}(4)$ ).

4,5-Dihydro-4-methyl-2,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3f**): Yield 0.195 g (75%). Yellow oil. IR: 3030, 2207 (CN), 1646 (C=C), 1232 (C–O–C), 758, 706. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.02 (*dd*, J = 8.0, 2.0, 2 arom. H); 7.50 – 7.36 (*m*, 8 arom. H); 5.25 (*d*, J = 8.8, H–C(5)); 3.37 (*dq*, J = 8.8, 6.8, H–C(4)); 1.44 (*d*, J = 6.8, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 166.0 ((C(2)); 139.7; 131.7; 129.1; 129.0; 128.9; 128.1; 127.4; 125.9; 117.4 (CN); 91.8; 85.7 (C(5)); 47.9 (C(4)); 18.8 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 262 (100,  $[M + H]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO: C 82.73, H 5.79, N 5.36; found: C 82.42, H 5.76, N 5.53.

4,5-Dihydro-4-methyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-thienyl)furan-3-carbonitrile (**3g**): Yield 0.205 g (77%). Yellow oil. IR: 3026, 2207 (CN), 1650 (C=C), 1244 (C–O–C), 758, 702. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.90 (*dd*, J = 3.6, 0.8, 1 arom. H); 7.52 (*dd*, J = 5.2, 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.42 – 7.36 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.15 (*dd*, J = 5.2, 4.4, 1 arom. H); 5.26 (d, J = 8.4, H–C(5)); 3.36 (dq, J = 8.4, 6.4, H–C(4)); 1.43 (d, J = 6.4, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 161.4 (C(2)); 139.4; 130.3; 130.2; 130.1; 129.15; 129.1; 128.3; 126.0; 117.1 (CN); 92.5; 84.1 (C(5)); 47.7 (C(4)); 18.8 (Me). LC/ ESI-MS: 268 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NOS: C 71.88, H 4.90, N 5.24, S 11.99; found: C 71.74, H 4.68, N 5.40, S 12.32.

4,5-Dihydro-5-methyl-2,5-diphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3h**): Yield 0.209 g (80%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.05 (*dd*, J = 7.81, 1.95, 2 arom. H); 7.49 – 7.31 (*m*, 8 arom. H); 3.31 (*d*, J = 14.5, H<sub>a</sub>–C(4)); 3.23 (*d*, J = 14.5, H<sub>b</sub>–C(4)); 1.40 (*s*, Me).

4,5-Dihydro-5-methyl-5-phenyl[2,2'-bifuran]-3-carbonitrile (**3i**): Yield 0.193 g (77%). Yellow oil. IR: 3061, 2209 (CN), 1648 (C=C), 1180 (C=O-C), 758, 702. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.61 (d, J = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.39–7.32 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.06 (d, J = 3.6, 1 arom. H); 6.65 (dd, J = 3.6, 1.6, 1 arom. H); 3.26 (d, J = 14.4, H<sub>a</sub>–C(4)); 3.19 (d, J = 14.8, H<sub>b</sub>–C(4)); 1.08 (s, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 156.9 (C(2)); 145.2; 144.7; 143.7; 128.6; 127.8; 124.1; 116.6 (CN); 113.9; 111.8; 91.1; 77.5 (C(5)); 45.2 (C(4)); 28.9 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 252 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 76.48, H 5.21, N 5.57; found: C 76.76, H 5.01, N 5.64.

 $\begin{array}{l} 2-(1-Benzofuran-2-yl)-4,5-dihydro-5-methyl-5-phenylfuran-3-carbonitrile ($ **3j** $): Yield 0.244 g (81%).\\ Pale yellow solid. M.p. 104–106° (hexane/AcOEt). IR: 3082, 2198 (CN), 1619 (C=C), 1211 (C–O–C), 856, 760, 696. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.66 ($ *d*,*J*= 7.6, 1 arom. H); 7.61 (*d*,*J*= 8.0, 1 arom. H); 7.43–7.37 (*m*, 5 arom. H); 7.35–7.28 (*m*, 3 arom. H); 3.32 (*d*,*J*= 15.2, H<sub>a</sub>–C(4)); 3.25 (*d*,*J*= 14.8, H<sub>b</sub>–C(4)); 1.85 (*s*, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 157.2 (C(2)); 155.6; 145.1; 144.8; 128.9; 128.1; 127.5; 127.1; 124.3; 124.0; 122.4; 116.5 (CN); 112.3; 110.2; 91.6; 80.7 (C(5)); 45.8 (C(4)); 29.1 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 302 (100, [*M*+ H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 79.72, H 5.02, N 4.65; found: C 79.84, H 4.88, N 4.89.

4,5-Dihydro-5-methyl-5-phenyl-2-(2-thienyl)furan-3-carbonitrile (**3k**): Yield 0.229 g (86%). Yellow oil. IR: 3026, 2970, 2201 (CN), 1635 (C=C), 1180 (C=O-C), 764, 702. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.09 (*dd*, J = 4.0, 0.8, 1 arom. H); 7.50 (*dd*, J = 5.2, 0.8, 1 arom. H); 7.38–7.36 (*m*, 4 arom. H); 7.30 (t, J = 3.2, 1 arom. H); 7.14 (*dd*, J = 4.8, 4.0, 1 arom. H); 3.26 (*d*,  $J = 14.4, H_a$ –C(4)); 3.19 (*d*,  $J = 14.8, H_b$ –C(4)); 1.79 (*s*, Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 161.2 (C(2)); 145.1; 130.6; 130.1; 129.8; 128.9; 128.3; 128.0; 124.3; 117.6 (CN); 91.1; 77.2 (C(5)); 45.8 (C(4)); 28.8 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 268 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NOS: C 71.88, H 4.90, N 5.24, S 11.99; found: C 71.61, H 4.76, N 5.17, S 12.13.

4-*Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-2,5,5-triphenylfuran-3-carbonitrile* (**3I**): Yield 0.291 g (83%). Pale yellow solid. M.p. 139–141° (hexane/AcOEt). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.26 (*dd*, J = 7.4, 1.7, 2 arom. H); 7.76 (*dd*, J = 7.5, 1.5, 2 arom. H); 7.68–7.66 (*m*, 3 arom. H); 7.56 (*tt*, J = 7.0, 1.6, 2 arom. H); 7.52–7.44 (*m*, 6 arom. H); 4.04 (*dd*, J = 7.7, 5.9, H–C(4)); 1.59–1.52 (*m*, MeCH<sub>2</sub>); 1.14 (*t*, J = 7.4, *Me*CH<sub>2</sub>).

4-*Ethyl-4,5-dihydro-5,5-diphenyl-2-(2-thienyl)furan-3-carbonitrile* (**3m**): Yield 0.257 g (72%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.88 (d, J = 3.8, 1 arom. H); 7.51 (d, J = 7.2, 1 arom. H); 7.48 (d, J = 5.0, 1 arom. H); 7.32 (t, J = 7.8, 1 arom. H); 7.26 – 7.19 (m, 7 arom. H); 7.09 (t, J = 4.8, 1 arom. H); 3.76 (t, J = 6.9, H–C(4)); 1.34–1.25 (m, MeCH<sub>2</sub>); 0.89 (t, J = 7.4, MeCH<sub>2</sub>).

5,5-Bis(4-fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydro-2-phenylfuran-3-carbonitrile (**3n**): Yield 0.308 g (86%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.41 – 7.38 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.36 (dd, J = 9.0, 5.0, 4 arom. H); 7.12 (t, J = 8.4, 1 arom. H); 3.62 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)).

5,5-*Bis*(4-*fluorophenyl*)-4,5-*dihydro*[2,2'-*bifuran*]-3-*carbonitrile* (**30**): Yield 0.286 g (82%). Yellow oil. IR: 3063, 2200 (CN), 1623 (C=C), 1253 (C=O-C), 829, 702. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.65 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.40 – 7.36 (*dd*, *J* = 9.0, 5.0, 4 arom. H); 7.13 (*d*, *J* = 3.5, 1 arom. H); 7.08 (*t*, *J* = 8.6, 4 arom. H); 6.59 (*dd*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.19 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.19 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.19 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 9.0, 5.0, 4 arom. H); 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 9.0, 5.0, 4 arom. H); 7.20 (*d*, *J* = 1.2, 1 arom. H); 7.2

 $J = 3.5, 1.6, 1 \text{ arom. H}); 3.71 (s, CH_2(4)). {}^{13}\text{C-NMR}: 163.6 - 161.4 (d, {}^{1}J(C,F) = 246.9, C-F); 156.7 (C(2)); 145.8; 143.5; 139.2 (d, {}^{4}J(C,F) = 3.2); 127.8 (d, {}^{3}J(C,F) = 8.3); 116.2 (CN); 115.8 (d, {}^{2}J(C,F) = 21.8); 114.5; 112.2; 93.4; 78.1 (C(5)); 45.3 (C(4)). LC/ESI-MS: 350 (100, <math>[M + H]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 72.20, H 3.75, N 4.01; found: C 72.44, H 3.52, N 4.30.

2-(1-Benzofuran-2-yl)-5,5-bis(4-fluorophenyl)-4,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile (**3p**): Yield 0.351 g (88%). Yellow solid. M.p. 104–106° (hexane/AcOEt). IR: 3059, 2200 (CN), 1643 (C=C), 1172 (C–O–C), 835, 754, 700. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.65 (d, J = 7.6, 1 arom. H); 7.59 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.8, 1 arom. H); 7.44 (s, 1 H); 7.41–7.36 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.29 (t, J = 7.6, 1 H); 7.06 (t, J = 8.4, 4 H); 3.73 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 163.9–161.4 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(C,F) = 246.9, C–F); 156.8 (C(2)); 144.6; 139.1 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(C,F) = 3.1); 127.8 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(C,F) = 7.7); 127.4; 127.3; 124.1; 122.5; 115.8 (d, <sup>2</sup>J(C,F) = 21.3); 115.9 (CN); 112.3; 110.6; 93.6; 81.1 (C(5)); 45.6 (C(4)). LC/ESI-MS: 400 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>15</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 75.18, H 3.79, N 3.51; found: C 75.52, H 3.66, N 3.42.

5,5-*Bis*(4-*fluorophenyl*)-4,5-*dihydro*-2-(2-*thienyl*)*furan*-3-*carbonitrile* (**3q**): Yield 0.310 g (85%). Yellow oil. IR: 3028, 2194 (CN), 1606 (C=C), 1257 (C–O–C), 835, 702. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.95 (*d*, *J* = 3.9, 1 H); 7.56 (*d*, *J* = 4.7, 1 H); 7.37 (*dd*, *J* = 9.0, 5.08, 4 H); 7.18 (*t*, *J* = 3.9, 1 H); 7.06 (*t*, *J* = 8.6, 4 H); 3.65 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 163.8–161.4 (*d*, <sup>1</sup>*J*(C,F) = 246.8, C–F); 160.8 (C(2)); 139.3 (*d*, <sup>4</sup>*J*(C,F) = 3.2); 130.4; 130.2; 130.0; 128.5; 127.7 (*d*, <sup>3</sup>*J*(C,F) = 8.4); 116.9 (CN); 115.9–115.7 (*d*, <sup>2</sup>*J*(C,F) = 21.1); 93.1; 77.6 (C(5)); 45.6 (C(4)). LC/ESI-MS: 366 (100,  $[M + H]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>2</sub>NOS: C 69.03, H 3.59, N 3.83, S 8.78; found: C 69.14, H 3.68, N 3.70, S 8.96.

4,5-Dihydro-5,5-bis(4-methylphenyl)[2,2'-bifuran]-3-carbonitrile (**3r**): Yield 0.280 g (82%). Yellow oil. IR: 3017, 2198 (CN), 1608 (C=C), 1255 (C–O–C), 835, 704. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.62 (d, J = 1.5, 1 H); 7.26 (d, J = 7.8, 4 H); 7.16 (d, J = 7.6, 1 H); 7.08 (d, J = 3.5, 1 H); 6.54 (dd, J = 3.5, 1.56, 1 H); 3.68 (s, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.33 (s, 2 Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 157.0 (C(2)); 145.6; 143.9; 138.1; 129.4; 125.8; 116.7 (CN); 114.2; 112.1; 94.3; 78.1 (C(5)); 45.1 (C(4)); 21.2 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 342 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>: C 80.92, H 5.61, N 4.10; found: C 81.22, H 5.77, N 4.02.

2-(tert-*Butyl*)-4,5-*dihydro*-5,5-*bis*(4-*methylphenyl*)*furan*-3-*carbonitrile* (**3s**): Yield 0.265 g (80%). Yellow oil. IR: 3032, 2922, 2203 (CN), 1617 (C=C), 1111 (C=O-C), 827, 706. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 727 (*d*, *J* = 8.0, 4 H); 7.14 (*d*, *J* = 7.6, 4 H); 3.55 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.33 (*s*, 2 Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 177.7 (C(2)); 141.8; 137.8; 129.3; 125.6; 117.5 (CN); 92.3; 77.4 (C(5)); 64.0; 35.0 (C(4)); 28.3; 21.2 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 332 (100,  $[M + H]^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>25</sub>NO: C 83.34, H 7.60, N 4.23; found: C 83.54, H 7.46, N 4.36.

4,5-Dihydro-5,5-bis(4-methylphenyl)-2-(2-thienyl)furan-3-carbonitrile (**3t**): Yield 0.310 g (87%). Yellow oil. IR: 3078, 2205 (CN), 1618 (C=C), 1238 (C=O=C), 835, 696. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.92 (*dd*, J = 4.0, 0.8, 1 H); 7.52 (*dd*, J = 4.4, 0.4, 1 H); 7.27 (*d*, J = 8.0, 4 H); 7.15 (*d*, J = 7.6, 4 H); 3.70 (*s*, CH<sub>2</sub>(4)); 2.32 (*s*, 3 Me). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR: 160.8 (C(2)); 140.8; 137.9; 130.2; 129.9; 129.7; 129.2; 128.1; 125.5; 117.1 (CN); 93.8; 77.4 (C(5)); 45.3 (C(4)); 21.0 (Me). LC/ESI-MS: 358 (100, [M + H]<sup>+</sup>). Anal. calc. for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NOS: C 77.28, H 5.36, N 3.92, S 8.97; found: C 77.38, H 5.11, N 4.11, S 9.12.

2,3-Dihydro-2-methyl-2-phenyl-4H-furo[3,2-c][1]benzofuran-4-one (**4a**): Yield 0.200 g (72%). Colorless solid. M.p. 114–116°. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.71 (*dd*, J = 6.3, 1.5, 1 H); 7.5 (*td*, J = 8.65, 1.59, 1 H); 7.37–7.26 (*m*, 7 arom. H); 3.35 (*d*, J = 15.4, H<sub>a</sub>–C(3)); 3.26 (*d*, J = 15.1, H<sub>b</sub>–C(3)); 1.83 (*s*, Me).

*Ethyl 4,5-Dihydro-2,5-dimethyl-5-phenylfuran-3-carboxylate* (**5a**): Yield 0.195 g (79%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 7.42 (d, J = 8.0, 2 H); 7.34 (t, J = 7.6, 2 H); 7.30 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2, 1 H); 3.41 (d, J = 15.0, H<sub>a</sub>-C(4)); 3.35 (d, J = 15.0, H<sub>b</sub>-C(4)); 4.22 (q, J = 7.2, MeCH<sub>2</sub>); 2.63 (s, Me); 1.34 (t, J = 7.2, MeCH<sub>2</sub>).

*Ethyl 4,5-Dihydro-2-methyl-5,5-diphenylfuran-3-carboxylate* (**5b**): Yield 0.250 g (81%). Yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR: 8.05 (d, J = 7.6, 1 H); 7.51 – 7.34 (m, 8 arom. H); 3.32 ( $s, CH_2(4)$ ); 4.32 ( $q, J = 7.6, MeCH_2$ ); 2.65 (s, Me); 1.35 ( $t, J = 7.6, MeCH_2$ ).

## REFERENCES

 B. Gregory, A. F. Parsons, C. B. Thomas, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, *41*, 7751; B. Gregory, A. F. Parsons, C. B. Thomas, *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 4719; G. G. Melikyan, *Synthesis* 1993, 833; B. B. Snider, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, *96*, 339–363; G.-W. Wang, Y.-W. Dong, P. Wu, T.-T. Yuan, Y.-B. Shen, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 7088; X.-Q. Pan, J.-P. Zou, W. Zhang, *Mol. Diversity* **2009**, *13*, 421; A. S. Demir, M. Emrullahoglu, *Curr. Org. Synth.* **2007**, *4*, 223.

- [2] a) Y. R. Lee, B. S. Kim, D. H. Kim, *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 8845; b) V. Nair, P. M. Treesa, D. Maliakal, N. P. Rath, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 7705; c) C.-H. Tseng, Y.-L. Wu, C.-P. Chuang, *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 7625; d) Y.-J. Liao, Y.-L. Wu, C.-P. Chuang, *Tetrahedron* 2003, 59, 3511; e) K. Kobayashi, K. Nagase, O. Morikawa, H. Konishi, *Heterocycles* 2003, 60, 939; f) Y.-L. Wu, C.-P. Chuang, *Tetrahedron* 2004, 60, 1841; g) V. Nair, A. Deepthi, *Chem. Rev.* 2007, *107*, 1862; h) V. Nair, K. Mohanan, T. D. Suja, E. Suresh, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 2803; i) S. Kajikawa, H. Nishino, K. Kurosawa, *Heterocycles* 2001, 54, 171; j) K. Bahrami, M. M. Khodaei, F. Naali, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 6835; k) G. Bar, F. Bini, F. Parsons, *Synth. Commun.* 2003, 33, 213.
- [3] F. M. Dean, 'Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry', Academic Press, New York, 1982; Vol. 30, pp. 167–238; F. M. Dean, M. V. Sargent, 'Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry', Pergamon, New York; 1984; Vol. 4, Part. 3, pp. 531–598; H.-L. Elbe, F. Kunisch, D. Bielefeldt, R. Tiemann, K. Stenzel, S. Dutzmann, M. Kugler, H. Schrage, US Patent, 6,107,336, Aug. 22, 2000; M. Miski, J. J. Jakupovic, *Phytochemistry* 1990, 29, 1995; C. Zdero, F. Bohlman, R. M. King, H. Robinson, *Phytochemistry* 1986, 25, 509; G. Hofman, R. K. Johnson, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1993, 56, 1500.
- [4] a) O. Alagoz, M. Yilmaz, A. T. Pekel, Synth. Commun. 2006, 36, 1005; b) M. Yilmaz, A. T. Pekel, Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 3871; c) M. Yilmaz, A. T. Pekel, Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 2189; d) M. Yilmaz, E. Bicer, A. T. Pekel, Turk. J. Chem. 2005, 29, 579; e) M. Yilmaz, A. T. Pekel, J. Fluorine Chem. 2005, 126, 401; f) M. Yilmaz, N. Uzunalioglu, A. T. Pekel, Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 8860; g) E. V. Burgaz, M. Yilmaz, A. T. Pekel, A. Oktemer, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 7229; h) M. Yilmaz, N. Uzunalioglu, M. Yakut, A. T. Pekel, Turk. J. Chem. 2008, 32, 411; i) M. Yilmaz, M. Yakut, A. T. Pekel, Synth. Commun. 2008, 38, 914.
- [5] E. Logoglu, M. Yilmaz, H. Katircioglu, M. Yakut, S. Mercan, Med. Chem. Res. 2010, 19, 490.
- [6] K. Drauz, A. Kleemann, E. Wolf-Heuss, US Pat. 4,728,743, March 1, 1988.
- [7] K. T. Serijan, P. H. Wise, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 365.
- [8] H. Namai, H. Ikeda, N. Kato, K. Mizuno, J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 4436.
- [9] E. W. Garbisch, J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 4165.
- [10] a) V.-H. Nguyen, H. Nishino, K. Kurosawa, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1996, 37, 4949; b) V.-H. Nguyen, H. Nishino, K. Kurosawa, *Synthesis* 1997, 899.
- [11] Y. R. Lee, B. S. Kim, H. C. Wang, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 12215.
- [12] G. Appendino, G. Cravotto, G. Palmisano, R. Annunziata, Synth. Commun. 1996, 26, 3359.
- [13] T. Yamada, Y. Iwahara, H. Nishino, K. Kurosawa, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1993, 609.

Received November 30, 2010